



Syddansk Universitet

Hearing aid processing strategies for listeners with different auditory profiles: Insights from the BEAR project

Wu, Mengfan; El-Haj-Ali, Mouhamad; Sanchez Lopez, Raul; Fereczkowski, Michal; Bianchi, Federica; Dau, Torsten; Santurette, Sébastien; Neher, Tobias

Publication date:
2018

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Wu, M., El-Haj-Ali, M., Sanchez Lopez, R., Fereczkowski, M., Bianchi, F., Dau, T., ... Neher, T. (2018). Hearing aid processing strategies for listeners with different auditory profiles: Insights from the BEAR project. Abstract from International Hearing Aid Research Conference (IHCON), Lake Tahoe, United States.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.


Hearing aid processing strategies for listeners with different auditory profiles: Insights from the BEAR project

Mengfan Wu¹, Mouhamad El-Haj-Ali¹, Raúl Sanchez-Lopez², Michal Fereczkowski²,
Federica Bianchi², Torsten Dau², Sébastien Santurette² & Tobias Neher¹

¹ Institute of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark


² Hearing Systems, Technical University of Denmark

BEAR consortium



BEAR outline


- ▶ Time frame: 2016-2021
- ▶ Funding: Innovation Fund Denmark (~4.5m \$), Danish hearing industry (~2.3m \$), other project partners (~1m \$)
- ▶ Purpose: Improve hearing rehabilitation through evidence-based renewal of clinical practice




New clinical profiling & fitting strategies

▶ Participants

- ▶ Aim: $N = 2 \times 30$ habitual HA users





▶ Study design



Hypothesis & test battery

► Classification of listeners into small number of auditory profiles

- Beyond audibility: Supra-threshold distortions (e.g. Plomp, JASA 1978)




(Sanchez-Lopez *et al*, IHCON 2018)

5 – Neher *et al*, IHCON 2018




Auditory profiling

► Data-driven classification based on dimensionality reduction followed by archetypal analysis (Sanchez-Lopez *et al*, Trends Hear, under review)



(Sanchez-Lopez *et al*, IHCON 2018)




6 – Neher *et al*, IHCON 2018



HA fitting evaluation

- ▶ Test setup: Virtual acoustics, 'realistic' HA simulator




- ▶ Comprehensive instrumental evaluation

- ▶ SNR improvement, temporal and spectral distortion, speech intelligibility and quality
- ▶ Spatially diffuse cafeteria noise, target signal from 0° or 90°, various input SNRs and standard audiograms (Bisgaard *et al*, 2010)

Instrumental evaluation

- ▶ Selection of six candidate settings

- ▶ Objective: Maximize differences through the use of different HA parameter sets



(Sanchez-Lopez *et al*, Euronoise 2018)

- 1 Omni, NR off, slow compression
- 2 Omni, strong NR, fast compression
- 3 Bin. beamformer, NR off, slow compression
- 4 Bin. beamformer, strong NR, slow compression
- 5 Bin. beamformer, strong NR, fast compression
- 6 Cardioid, mild NR, slow compression

Perceptual evaluation

► Stimuli

- Target speech: Sentences from 0° or 90°
- Speech-like interferer from 90° or 0°
- Spatially diffuse cafeteria noise




Støjpåvirkning

► Speech-in-noise reception

- Individual SRT_{50} measurements, then fixed-SNR speech recognition scores; test-retest measurements

► Overall quality and noise annoyance


- Multi-stimulus comparison; $SRT_{50} + 4$ dB SNR; four repetitions



9 – Neher *et al*, IHCON 2018



Speech-in-noise reception



► Preliminary statistics

- Spatial condition, HA setting, spatial condition \times HA setting: all $p < .0001$
- Auditory profiles: ???


10 – Neher *et al*, IHCON 2018



Summary

- ▶ BEAR project: Unique constellation; large-scale approach
- ▶ Auditory profiling
 - ▶ Data-driven approach; Reasonably consistent results for two separate datasets
 - ▶ More data needed for cross-validation (incl. other audiometric configurations)
- ▶ HA fitting evaluation
 - ▶ Instrumental evaluation: SNR improvement, temporal and spectral distortion; Selection of six candidate HA settings
 - ▶ Perceptual evaluation: Preliminary data show expected effects of spatial condition and HA settings; More data needed for probing auditory profiles

Acknowledgments



Mengfan
Wu



Mouhamad
El-Haj-Ali



Raúl Sanchez-
Lopez



Federica
Bianchi



Michal
Fereczkowski



Torsten
Dau



Sébastien
Santurette



Innovation Fund Denmark
RESEARCH, TECHNOLOGY & GROWTH

GN

oticon
PEOPLE FIRST

WIDEX®